2021-06-17: Valuing Scholarship by Manuscript Reviewers: A Call to Action
Topic and Questions courtesy of the Journal of Graduate Medical Education

The following links were shared during the chat:

- Don’t Be Reviewer 2! Reflections on Writing Effective Peer Review Comments
- Group Peer Review: The Breakfast of Champions
- October 2020 #MedEdPearls: Embracing the Power of Mentored Peer Review
- Team-Based Coaching Approach to Peer Review: Sharing Service and Scholarship
  https://meridian.allenpress.com/jgme/article/9/1/127/201212/Team-Based-Coaching-Approach-to-Peer-Review
- Developing Your Expertise as a Peer Reviewer
  https://docs.google.com/document/d/16eD3md4aTcca6gDTIEFwvQXt1j1H1g6FT3sXgzc8i2Y/edit#
- Health Professions Education Scholarship: The Emergence, Current Status, and Future of a Discipline in Its Own Right
- Valuing Scholarship by Manuscript Reviewers: A Call to Action

---

**MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat** 8 hours ago

**TOPIC 1:** It's late! You've just spent hrs writing your #MedEd article rvw, seeking to constructively improve the paper's contribution & help the editors make a decision. Tired & harried you ask: "Why am I a reviewer; what's in it for me, personally or professionally? #MedEdChat

---

**Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do** 8 hours ago

Hi Im Nagina @TouroNevada #mededchat #MedEd

---

**Deb Simpson @debsimpson38** 8 hours ago

T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes: (1) Citizenship in MedEd & (2) Scholarship -- so we should have lively discussion tonight!! #MedEdChat - Ready @HarvardMacy @AMEEFacDev @SDRME @MayoFacDev https://t.co/byLsaf29kj

---

**Iris Thiele Isip Tan MD, MSc @endocrine_witch** 8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:…

---

**MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat** 8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:…

---

**Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara** 8 hours ago

Kristina here signing in for #MedEdChat! Interested in the topic and happy to be here. @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 #MedEd #HMILeaders https://t.co/WrPAvzAvF3
Eliot Rees @ElRees18 hours ago

Eliot here in London, UK. First time joining #mededchat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago

T1 via @olletencate - a #JGME editor - "a #mededscholar must review; it is an inherent part of our role - and one that keeps us sharp and informs us about what is out there, more than the usual superficial read of articles." #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @MedEdChat: TOPIC 1: It's late! You've just spent hrs writing your #MedEd article rvw, seeking to constructively improve the paper's con...

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @MedEdChat: T1 about to come up in a few moments #meded #mededchat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @TLMedEd: Greetings #mededchat !

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @GLBDallaghan: Gary here in NC #mededchat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @MedEdChat: If you are tuning in to the #mededchat, remember to use the #mededchat hashtag and try to answer with the Topic numbers (T1,...

Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan 8 hours ago

T1 I can say I do it to give back but also to learn. I feel like I learn new approaches to writing methods and results each time I do a review #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @MedEdChat: The #mededchat topic & questions will be announced in a moment...for now, please introduce yourselves #meded

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @MedEdChat: Welcome to the #mededchat (US) I am your moderator for the next hour @alliance4clined #meded
TLMedEd @TLMedEd 8 hours ago @MedEdChat T1. Personally, doing reviews is a chance to give back to my professional community. Professionally, it’s a way to learn continuously and assist in cultivating #meded. #mededchat

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat 8 hours ago @ElRees1 Welcome to the #MedEdChat You’re up late! Thanks for joining!

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago @MedEdChat T1 #MedEdChat Personally you get to read new work related to #MedEd and professionally you get recognized for contributing to the academic discipline by giving of your time and sharing your knowledge & skill.

Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 8 hours ago @TLMedEd @MedEdChat @TLMedEd that’s consistent with our poll results -- citizenship to our community #MedEdChat

Eliot Rees @ElRees1 8 hours ago @MedEdChat @TLMedEd @MedEdChat @TLMedEd that’s consistent with our poll results -- citizenship to our community #MedEdChat

Sarah E @drsarahedwards 8 hours ago @ElRees1 Yeah looking good…. I’m post a late shift in the ED #mededchat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago Q1: Reading other papers teaches me A TON about academic writing. This is a big reason why I peer review. I get to offer my insights on how I would improve the paper and that is important. But reading other’s writing before it published is eye-opening, & formative. #MedEdChat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 8 hours ago T1 2/2 How does being a #peerreviewer serve authors? Peer review supports them to achieve the high standards of the field & the meaningful advancement of a journal’s scholarly conversation. Necessary Yes! Sufficient - Nope. @ChrisWatling3 @sginsburg1 @LingardLorelei #MedEdChat

Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan8 hours ago T1 One review I did last fall introduced me to a new way of thinking about #evaluation…and I’ve been obsessed with it since. Read two books on it. Writing a manuscript applying it. You learn a lot from doing this #MedEdChat
Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @GLBDallaghan: T1 I can say I do it to give back but also to learn. I feel like I learn new approaches to writing methods and results e…

Sarah E @drSarahEdwards 8 hours ago
RT @KristinaDzara: Q1: Reading other papers teaches me A TON about academic writing. This is a big reason why I peer review. I get to off…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T1 Agree!! 1/2 "Peer review is voluntary, unpaid, & often unrecognized/unrewarded work by busy academics & clinicians... I…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: @TLMedEd @MedEdChat @TLMedEd that's consistent with our poll results - - citizenship to our community #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @MedEdChat: @ElRees1 Welcome to the #MedEdChat You're up late! Thanks for joining!

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @TLMedEd: @MedEdChat T1. Personally, doing reviews is a chance to give back to my professional community. Professionally, it's a way to…

MedEd @TelehealthBot 8 hours ago
RT @DrKhan_do: Hi Im Nagina @TouroNevada mededchat MedEd

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T1 Agree!! 1/2 "Peer review is voluntary, unpaid, & often unrecognized/unrewarded work by busy academics & clinicians... I…

MedEd @TelehealthBot 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:…

Sarah E @drSarahEdwards 8 hours ago
I have to agree, I've become a better writer since reviewing. The insist to what journals like and want can be really helpful to shape my papers. mededchat

TLMedEd @TLMedEd 8 hours ago
T1. Reading a high-quality review is always edifying and enjoyable, even with its critiques. It's fun to participate in the art of reviewing. mededchat

#MedEdChat Transcript produced by the Alliance for Clinical Education (created using Symplur.com)
MedEd @TelehealthBot 8 hours ago
RT @KristinaDzara: Kristina here signing in for #MedEdChat! Interested in the topic and happy to be here. @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 #…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T1 2/2 How does being a #peerreviewer serve authors? Peer review supports them to achieve the high standards of the field…

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago
@KristinaDzara Yes you can also perhaps find how a different perspective can open your eye - linking or re affirming your own thoughts on an idea that you have in the works! #MedEdChat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson3 8 hours ago
@GLBDallaghan T1 Indeed @GLBDallaghan - always learn when I review -- just not sure if that's enough - most efficient way to get new ideas -- given that often takes a couple of hours for one review... Can we make it count multiple ways? #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @ChrisWatling3 @sginsburg1 @LingardLorelei I try to be a strong but fair reviewer. I want all authors to feel good about their work - academic writing is hard!!! But, also offer formative feedback to improve regardless of the editor's final decision. Respectful, but formative. #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @GLBDallaghan: T1 One review I did last fall introduced me to a new way of thinking about #evaluation...and I've been obsessed with it s…

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago
@TLMedEd You might also learn or see how not to write something! #mededchat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
@GLBDallaghan Agree and if you are reviewing, you have a birds-eye view to what's "up and coming" in the field. #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @DrKhan_do: @TLMedEd You might also learn or see how not to write something! #mededchat

Joanne Hamilton, RD, MEd, EdD @Jo_HamiltonEdD 8 hours ago
@MedEdChat T1 #mededchat. It’s not always what’s in it for me…but what it does for others. Though for me it keeps my critical appraisal skills sharp.
Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago
RT @KristinaDzara: @GLBDallaghan Agree and if you are reviewing, you have a birds-eye view to what's "up and coming" in the field. #MedEd...

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago
RT @KristinaDzara: @debsimpson3 @ChrisWatling3 @sqinsburg1 @LingardLorelei I try to be a strong but fair reviewer. I want all authors to fe...

Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 T1 I would argue the learning new methods is counting in multiple ways for me. Getting more recognition for the work that goes into the reviews would also be nice #MedEdChat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago
Wonder if we should have an equation -- number of articles we publish - should be matched? doubled? by number of articles we review. Should we review at least 1-2 articles per year for each journal we submit to? Idea via @olletencate - author #JGME editor #MedEdChat

MedEdBot @MedEdBot8 hours ago
RT @MedEdChat: T1 about to come up in a few moments #meded #mededchat

MedEdBot @MedEdBot8 hours ago
RT @MedEdChat: TOPIC 1: It's late! You've just spent hrs writing your #MedEd article rvw, seeking to constructively improve the paper's con...

MedEdBot @MedEdBot8 hours ago
RT @DrKhan_do: Hi Im Nagina @TouroNevada #mededchat #MedEd

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @GLBDallaghan It would be nice if peer review was recognized more as contributing to the larger landscape of the field. I don’t expect to get any academic “credit” for peer reviewing. #MedEdChat

MedEdBot @MedEdBot8 hours ago
RT @KristinaDzara: Kristina here signing in for #MedEdChat! Interested in the topic and happy to be here. @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 #…

Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @olletencate T1 Yikes. I wouldn't have time for my day job! #MedEdChat
Deb Simpson @debsimpson3 8 hours ago
@GLBDallaghan T1 Wondering what kind of recognition @GLB Dallaghan #MedEdChat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson3 8 hours ago
T1 Love @Jon_Ilgen's The Breakfast of Champions - about the trade off of reviewing paper in your interest area or making waffles with your family! Argues for group peer review to enhance value of this traditionally solitary activity! #MedEdChat https://t.co/XXsshcteLz https://t.co/pfNZLQROzL

Deb Simpson @debsimpson3 8 hours ago
@KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan T1 am wondering why you don't expect to get any "credit" for reviewing - the community depends on it to advance our field? Could it be another way to demonstrate #mededscholar? #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @olletencate I don't love the idea of quantifying with exact numbers...manuscripts vary. Some are 500 words, others are 40 pages! Not all reviews take the same time or effort. #MedEdChat

TLMedEd @TLMedEd 8 hours ago
@KristinaDzara @debsimpson3 @GLBDallaghan Sadly, sometimes faculty are counseled NOT to review by their superiors. #mededchat

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago
@GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 When I first started reviewing it was nice to have support from a colleague who reviewed often and contributed a lot of his time. I don't think it's something I just thought I would be good at! I needed encouragement #mededchat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @olletencate I do think that if you submit to a journal - and especially publish in a journal - it is part of citizenship to peer review for them. #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @DrKhan_do: @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 When I first started reviewing it was nice to have support from a colleague who reviewed often an...

Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan 8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 T1 I think letters like @TLMedEd sends each year thanking people for their service is nice. At least it's something you could use in a portfolio #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
@DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 I have seen a lot of doubt around peer reviewing. Trainees or junior faculty feeling ill-equipped, lacking expertise, or know-how to do a peer review. This can lead to NOT peer reviewing, which I think is a disservice to themselves and the field. #MedEdChat
Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago
RT @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 When I first started reviewing it was nice to have support from a colleague who reviewed often an…

Deb Simpson @debsimpson3 8 hours ago
@DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan T1 Indeed - we often encourage folks to review. And then we don't help them!! Team-based coaching approach to peer review works!! #MedEdChat https://t.co/59Gj9ghx6u

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
@DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 I wrote a @HarvardMacy blog post about the power of mentored peer review. https://t.co/zIDcaHW1bP I try to do most of my peer reviews with trainees or junior faculty so that they 1) have the experience and 2) MORE IMPORTANTLY feel competent to review independently. #MedEdChat

TLMedEd @TLMedEd 8 hours ago
@KristinaDzara @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 T1. Agreed. Mentored peer review is a great way to get new reviewers over their jitters. And it's a lot of fun! #mededchat

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago
@KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Definitely true, infact it makes a difference and you can also enjoy the process of helping more and being fair - thinking about how you feel when you get comments back! Makes for a better compassionate reviewer for sure #mededchat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson3 8 hours ago
@DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan PS -- lots of guidance out about how to be a peer reviewer - but still need to be coached a little!! At least I do.. need feedback, know how I compare to others.. getting to see other's review of same article helps! #MedEdChat https://t.co/LFvVPwGNhM

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat 8 hours ago
TOPIC 2: How can we in academia make the case that being a #meded journal peer reviewer is a form of #Scholarship? How can we approach peer reviewing as a form of scholarly inquiry per #Glassick #Boyer? What evidence would demonstrate its scholarly value? #MedEdChat

Eliot Rees @ElRees 18 hours ago
@KristinaDzara @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Have started doing groups reviews as part of @UCL_PCPH journal club. Really enjoyable and helps to mentor new reviewers. T1 #mededchat

Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan PS -- lots of guidance out about how to be a peer reviewer - but still need to be coached a littl…
RT @KristinaDzara: @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 I wrote a @HarvardMacy blog post about the power of mentored peer review. https://…

RT @debsimpson3: @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan T1 Indeed - we often encourage folks to review. And then we don't help them!! Team-based coachi…

RT @debsimpson3: @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Have started doing groups reviews as part of @UCL_PCPH journal club. Real…

RT @debsimpson3: @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 @UCL_PCPH That's a super idea! #mededchat

RT @debsimpson3: T2 In 1980: 3 “dedicated” #meded journals; now 35! All need reviewers! Must read by @olletencate uses Boyer to explain why HPE #mededscholarship is its own discipline!! We can't be a discipline w/out being inclusive of those peers who review! https://t.co/UDKtajKxv3 #MedEdChat

T2 In 1980: 3 “dedicated” #meded journals; now 35! All need reviewers! Must read by @olletencate uses Boyer to explain why HPE #mededscholarship is its own discipline!! We can't be a discipline w/out being inclusive of those peers who review! https://t.co/UDKtajKxv3 #MedEdChat

RT @debsimpson3: T2 In 1980: 3 “dedicated” #meded journals; now 35! All need reviewers! Must read by @olletencate uses Boyer to explain why…

RT @debsimpson3: T2 In 1980: 3 “dedicated” #meded journals; now 35! All need reviewers! Must read by @olletencate uses Boyer to explain why…

T2 I think of #Glassick when reviewing. Makes me wonder if there is a way to get summaries annually of articles I reviewed...# rejected or accepted. Would help me know if I'm rating properly and also provides some good metrics for effort #MedEdChat
MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T2 In 1980: 3 "dedicated" #meded journals; now 35! All need reviewers! Must read by @olletencate uses Boyer to explain why…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @ElRees1: @KristinaDzara @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Have started doing groups reviews as part of @UCL_PCPH journal club. Real…

Deb Simpson @debsimpson 38 hours ago
T2 Eager to see what others think. Let's break it down - using #Glassick and #Boyer... making the case that reviewing IS a form of scholarship.. #MedEdChat

Sateesh Arja, M.B.B.S., MHPE, MSPH @ArjaSateesh 8 hours ago
@MedEdChat #mededchat T1 I can give constructive feedback to authors and also learn from authors. Can learn good practices from other institutions. Also can get and learn writing skills by reading others’ articles. This helped me to improve my academic and scholarly writing #meded

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago
T2 #MedEdChat Joining a reviewer site can prompt you keep a count of how many you have done or want to do in a year and if other academic institutions or journals can access then you kind of get some recognition. For example Publons. #mededchat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @DrKhan_do: @ElRees1 @KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 @UCL_PCPH That’s a super idea! #mededchat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @GLBDallaghan: @debsimpson3 T1 I would argue the learning new methods is counting in multiple ways for me. Getting more recognition for…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: @GLBDallaghan T1 Indeed @GLBDallaghan - always learn when I review -- just not sure if that’s enough - most efficient way…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @MedEdChat: TOPIC 2: How can we in academia make the case that being a #meded journal peer reviewer is a form of #Scholarship? How can…

Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd itor 8 hours ago
@MedEdChat T2 submit original manuscript (authors/institutions redacted) with your review, as part of clin educator portfolio for annual evaluation or promotions package? Count group reviews as part of mentor work? #mededchat #meded #jgme
Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara
8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan PS -- lots of guidance out about how to be a peer reviewer - but still need to be coached a littl…

Deb Simpson @debsimpson3
8 hours ago
T2 Almost 25 yrs since Grassick pub "Scholarship Assessed". Already used to frame evidence needed for scholarship. As reviewer "clear goal" = advance discipline not to redesign the study. Effective presentation critical.. It works for all the categories @GLBDallaghan #MedEdChat https://t.co/Lwaa4VzR31

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do
8 hours ago
RT @DrMedEd_itor: @MedEdChat T2 submit original manuscript (authors/institutions redacted) with your review, as part of clin educator portf…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara
8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan This is a good resource @debsimpson3 - if you have any others you would recommend please tweet them! #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara
8 hours ago
RT @TLMedEd: @KristinaDzara @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 T1. Agreed. Mentored peer review is a great way to get new reviewers over…

Deb Simpson @debsimpson3
8 hours ago
@DrKhan_do T2 What are best reviewer sites you use #DrKahn_do to track your activity? #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara
8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan T1 Indeed - we often encourage folks to review. And then we don't help them!! Team-based coachi…

Alliance4ClinEd @Alliance4ClinEd
8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @GLBDallaghan T2 I agree. Honestly, I have to be prepared as a reviewer. I've even done homework to better understand the author’s use of a particular statistical analysis just to adequately review the work. #MedEdChat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson3
8 hours ago
@Alliance4ClinEd @GLBDallaghan T2 Agree - even if I don't learn enough and have to ask for a stats consult - as a reader/Reviewer their explanation should still make sense as to why chose the analysis, what findings where, etc #MedEdChat

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do
8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 Publons is one I know of! #MedEdChat
Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T1 Love @Jon_Ilgen’s The Breakfast of Champions - about the trade off of reviewing paper in your interest area or making w…

Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan 8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @Alliance4ClinEd T2 Agreed. It’s by telling a story and the story should be understood by anyone reading it. #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @Jo_HamiltonEdD: @MedEdChat T1 #mededchat. It’s not always what’s in it for me…but what it does for others. Though for me it keeps my…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @TLMedEd: T1. Reading a high-quality review is always edifying and enjoyable, even with its critiques. It’s fun to participate in the ar…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T2 Almost 25 yrs since Grassick pub "Scholarship Assessed". Already used to frame evidence needed for scholarship. As revi…

Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd_itor 8 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @MedEdChat @oiletencate T2 >100 med ed journals (per Dave Cook), internationally. Some publish just one/yr. Reviewers too are international. As an editor, one ‘meets’ reviewers from all over, from Nepal to New Zealand Med ed seems a small world, thru reviewing - enhances the value #mededchat #meded #jgme

Sateesh Arja, M.B.B.S., MHPE, MSPH @ArjaSateesh 8 hours ago
@MedEdChat #mededchat T2 peer reviewer activity can considered as scholarly activity. Medical Science Educator gives a certificate and also offer reviewer workshop for reviewers. And also have seen Journal of Research in Higher Education and SAGE publications publish the reviewers’ names

TLMedEd @TLMedEd 8 hours ago
@GLBDallaghan A portfolio of reviews is a good idea for building a case for reviews as scholarship. So are criteria for judging review quality. #mededchat

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat 8 hours ago
RT @ArjaSateesh: @MedEdChat #mededchat T2 peer reviewer activity can considered as scholarly activity. Medical Science Educator gives a ce…
Elaina @tippin_elaina 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:…

Avraham Z. Cooper, MD @AvrahamCooperMD 8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
@GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 @Alliance4ClinEd If I don't feel I have enough expertise in a theory used, or methods, I make a note to the editor that they should ensure another reviewer has that expertise to ensure the results are appropriate & robust. Big fan of the “additional notes to editor” review section! #MedEdChat

Ryan Landoll @DrLandoll 8 hours ago
I love this idea. I also strongly believe co-reviewing a paper with your graduate students is a win (good exp for them)-win (makes your review easier)-win (I accept more reviews this way)

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
RT @GLBDallaghan: T2 I think of #Glassick when reviewing. Makes me wonder if there is a way to get summaries annually of articles I review…

Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 8 hours ago
T2 Indeed portfolio is excellent approach @TLMedEd. Wondering what evidence one would highlight from the reviews to demonstrate a peer reviewer's scholarly value? We've got "quantity" of reviews (easy with pubon - but it's the quality that's trickier for evidence! #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago
@GLBDallaghan That would be cool. I suppose you could track it yourself - I get emails back from journals regarding the results of my reviews. It would not be hard to start a simple excel spreadsheet, add all reviews, and then when you get that email, update the result. #MedEdChat

Avraham Z. Cooper, MD @AvrahamCooperMD 8 hours ago
@KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 @Alliance4ClinEd As a (junior) associate editor I often learn a lot from robust reviews. This should be recognized as scholarship just like teaching activities! #mededchat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 8 hours ago
@KristinaDzara @TLMedEd @GLBDallaghan Portfolios can still be helpful for authors of letters of support.. have even seen people post them on SoMe account so that their reviewers (if blinded) see it!! Very helpful #MedEdChat

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat 7 hours ago
TOPIC 3: Peer reviewers need others to value this work as scholarship. What's our Ask? From #ICMJE #MedEd Journals? What policy changes r needed within our #medicalschools to value it during our annual perform review? for Awards? for acad promotion? #CFAS #LCME #ACGME #MedEdChat
Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan 7 hours ago @debsimpson3 @TLMedEd T2 Right....which is why it would be nice to know which of those I reviewed, how I rated (accept/reject) and what the final decision was. It's additional information other than just total reviews completed. #MedEdChat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 37 hours ago @AvrahamCooperMD @KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan @Alliance4ClinEd Exactly -- it's another form of #mededsholar! What would be evidence? #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 7 hours ago RT @AvrahamCooperMD: @KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 @Alliance4ClinEd As a (junior) associate editor I often learn a lot from rob…

Eliot Rees @ElRees 17 hours ago @KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan If you use @Publons they tell you when papers you reviewed have been published #MedEdChat

Paul Haidet @myheroistrane 7 hours ago It is SO helpful when the journal sends me the result of their adjudication, plus the other reviews. Helps me to calibrate my own review. Glassick #6. #mededchat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 37 hours ago T3 Almost every #meded journal has #metrics on reviewers: #reviews /yr; mean rating of reviews by editor-in-chief, ed board members/staff; Evidence of reviewer quality/quantity = #MedEdScholar!! Time to share it! Yes=staff time but reviewers give their time free! #MedEdChat #ICMJE https://t.co/n9AR17KadA

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 7 hours ago RT @myheroistrane: It is SO helpful when the journal sends me the result of their adjudication, plus the other reviews. Helps me to calibra…

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat 7 hours ago RT @myheroistrane: It is SO helpful when the journal sends me the result of their adjudication, plus the other reviews. Helps me to calibra…

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat 7 hours ago RT @debsimpson3: T3 Almost every #meded journal has #metrics on reviewers: #reviews /yr; mean rating of reviews by editor-in-chief, ed boar…

Gail Sullivan @DrMedEditor 7 hours ago @MedEdChat T2 1 review doesn’t equal 1 paper resulting from 3 yrs of work, but maybe 9 superb reviews over 3 yrs does! #mededchat #meded #jgme
Sateesh Arja, M.B.B.S., MHPE, MSPH @ArjaSateesh 7 hours ago
@MedEdChat mededchat T3 maybe individual institutions can make the policy changes and make all of these activities can be included as part of educational scholarship activities and can be considered for promotion like peer reviewer activity or even the Twitter discussions that we are doing.

Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 37 hours ago
@myheroistrane Agree getting decision and other reviewers comments is nice example of #reflectivecritique @myheroistrane !! Glassick works for peer review too as form of #mededsholar #MedEdChat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 37 hours ago
T3 As #Editors @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups: P&T Com, #MedEd Journals; reviewers do for themselves. Share article - its #openaccess - start the dialogue!!
Reactions? #MedEdChat https://t.co/mt99Qpb2fY https://t.co/dV2nbXpMzQ

TLMedEd @TLMedEd7 hours ago
@debsimpson3 Agreed. Adding to the challenge is that review quality is somewhat context-dependent. #mededchat

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat7 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T3 As #Editors @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:…

Alliance4ClinEd @Alliance4ClinEd7 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T3 As #Editors @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:…

SDRME @SDRME Meded7 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T3 As #Editors @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:…

Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 37 hours ago
@ArjaSateesh @MedEdChat Indeed - we need to work on valuing our reviewers at the institutional level!! Policy, mentoring colleagues about what evidence to include. So important - starts slowly as it's a culture change @ArjaSateesh #MedEdChat

Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan7 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris T3 Those are all great ideas of how to approach tracking reviews from a scholarly perspective. Up until now I've never thought much of doing this as I saw this as a service to #meded....now, I will #MedEdChat
Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago
@TLMedEd Indeed though yet almost every journal "rates" reviewers -- should an individual's average be available for use in a portfolio x journal? @TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd Journal @pmeded #MedEdChat?

TLMedEd @TLMedEd7 hours ago
@MedEdChat T3. The change likely has to happen at the institutional level, where non-publication/grant forms of educational scholarship are still less valued. #mededchat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago
@GLBDallaghan @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris Now we're making progress #MedEdChat ers!! Reviewers help advance what we know in what @olletencate is arguing is now a discipline!! Let's go for it!! #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago
RT @ElRees1: @KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan If you use @Publons they tell you when papers you reviewed have been published #MedEdChat

TLMedEd @TLMedEd7 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @AcadMedJournal @MedEd Journal @pmeded T3. Unfortunately, the reviewer rating data on journal websites are likely to be unreliable and lacking in meaningful variation. #mededchat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: @GLBDallaghan @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris Now we're making progress #MedEdChat ers!! Reviewers help advanc…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago
RT @TLMedEd: @MedEdChat T3. The change likely has to happen at the institutional level, where non-publication/grant forms of educational sc…

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat7 hours ago
We have about 5 more minutes left in our discussion. Please feel free to give some final thoughts #meded #mededchat

Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago
@TLMedEd @MedEdChat T3 Live valuing teaching, mentoring, educational leadership its slow but there has been progress in last 20 yrs... we have a ways to go but some organizations like @ARVOinfo count it towards being a fellow!! We can and must value our peer reviewers! #MedEdChat

Sateesh Arja, M.B.B.S., MHPE, MSPH @ArjaSateesh7 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @MedEdChat #mededchat T3 completely agreed #meded
Dr. Lisa Starr @LisaStarrPhD 7 hours ago
I’ve seen stuff like this before, and my thought is always, damn, other people are either reviewing way less than me or submitting way more than me, bc my ratio is waaaay more than 2 reviews per submission. Either way I’m probably doing something wrong.

Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago
Honored to among @JGME guest hosts for this #MedEdChat on peer review as scholarship. Special thanks to ALL who review! Thank u e-mails, formal ltrs 2 their org leaders, webpages 4 top reviewers all good- AND we can/must do more! #GFA_chat #MedEdScholars https://t.co/FJhPMbzwVR https://t.co/hpqDUqiJiD

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 7 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd Journal @pmeded This is a tough one for me. Journals "rate" reviewers but reviewers don't know the metrics. If these ratings were going to be more public, or used in some way, I would want to know how the measurement, so that I can do what I need to do to get a reasonable “score.” #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 7 hours ago
RT @GLBDallaghan: @debsimpson3 @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris T3 Those are all great ideas of how to approach tracking reviews...

Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago
@KristinaDzara @TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd Journal @pmeded As always with any assessment - transparency is key!! #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 7 hours ago
@debsimpson3 @ArjaSateesh @MedEdChat I will say I enjoy when journals publish "reviewers" for the year. It feels good to get a tiny bit of public recognition for the work. And others saw you participated in giving back to the field. #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 7 hours ago
RT @TLMedEd: @debsimpson3 Agreed. Adding to the challenge is that review quality is somewhat context-dependent. #mededchat

Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd_itor7 hours ago
RT @GLBDallaghan: @debsimpson3 @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris T3 Those are all great ideas of how to approach tracking reviews...

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T3 As #Editors @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:…

Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd_itor7 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: Honored to among @JGME guest hosts for this #MedEdChat on peer review as scholarship. Special thanks to ALL who review! T…
Without peer reviewers, the scholarly conversation falls silent. #mededchat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara
RT @debsimpson3: @myheroistrane Agree getting decision and other reviewers comments is nice example of #reflectivecritique @myheroistrane !…

MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat
#MedEdChat will be on hiatus until Thurs Aug 19, 2021. Have a great summer! See you then!

That’s a wrap...I will post the #mededchat transcript tomorrow morning on https://t.co/mJivoK9NyX. Thanks everyone for participating! #meded

Deb Simpson @debsimpson3
@TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd Journal @pmeded I see potential for interesting study here @TLMedEd! #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara
RT @MedEdChat: That’s a wrap...I will post the #mededchat transcript tomorrow morning on https://t.co/mJivoK9NyX. Thanks everyone for parti…

Gail Sullivan @DrMedEditor
RT @debsimpson3: T3 As #Editors @JGME we’ve called our community to act - let’s value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:…

Avraham Z. Cooper, MD @AvrahamCooperMD
RT @debsimpson3: Honored to among @JGME guest hosts for this #MedEdChat on peer review as scholarship. Special thanks to ALL who review! T…

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara
RT @MedEdChat: #MedEdChat will be on hiatus until Thurs Aug 19, 2021. Have a great summer! See you then!

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara
RT @TLMedEd: Without peer reviewers, the scholarly conversation falls silent. #mededchat

Gail Sullivan @DrMedEditor
@debsimpson3 @jgmef @mededdoc @lainieyarris T3 We can learn so much from reviewing one another’s work. Reviewers are: altruistic, curious, rigorous. 3 cheers for reviewers! #mededchat #meded #jgmef
Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 7 hours ago @debsimpson3 @TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd Journal @pmeded Right. The metrics should be transparent @debsimpson3! #MedEdChat

Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 7 hours ago RT @debsimpson3: @KristinaDzara @TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd Journal @pmeded As always with any assessment - transparency is key!! #Med...

Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd_itor 7 hours ago RT @debsimpson3: @GLBDallaghan @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris Now we're making progress #MedEdChat ers!! Reviewers help advanc...

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 7 hours ago RT @debsimpson3: T3 As #Editors @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:....

Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do 7 hours ago @MedEdChat Thanks it was my first time participating! It was really nice to chat with you all! #mededchat

MedEdBot @MedEdBot 7 hours ago RT @MedEdChat: We have about 5 more minutes left in our discussion. Please feel free to give some final thoughts #meded #mededchat

MedEdBot @MedEdBot 7 hours ago RT @MedEdChat: That's a wrap...I will post the #mededchat transcript tomorrow morning on https://t.co/mJivoK9NyX. Thanks everyone for parti...

Avraham Z. Cooper, MD @AvrahamCooperMD 7 hours ago RT @debsimpson3: T3 As #Editors @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:....

Med Student Bot @MedStudent_Bot 7 hours ago RT @MedEdChat: That's a wrap...I will post the #mededchat transcript tomorrow morning on https://t.co/mJivoK9NyX. Thanks everyone for parti...

Jay by the shore @iamgabbarson 7 hours ago RT @debsimpson3: T3 As #Editors @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:....

Marinos Sotiropoulos @Marinos 6 hours ago RT @myheroistrane: It is SO helpful when the journal sends me the result of their adjudication, plus the other reviews. Helps me to calibra...
Tom Cooney MD @PDX_Tom 5 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: Honored to among @JGME guest hosts for this #MedEdChat on peer review as scholarship. Special thanks to ALL who review! T…

Turacoz Healthcare Solutions @turacoz3 hours ago
#medicalwritingtip #medtwitter #MedStudentTwitter #MedEdChat #MedEd #medicalcareer #medicalwriting #scientificwriting #pharmacist #Biology #ScienceTwitter #Tips #medicaleducation #medicalstudent #writingtips #writingcommunity #writingcommunity #writersoftwitter https://t.co/uKmrKZtYlx

MedEdBot @MedEdBot3 3 hours ago
RT @turacoz: #medicalwritingtip #medtwitter #MedStudentTwitter #MedEdChat #MedEd #medicalcareer #medicalwriting #scientificwriting #pharmacist…

Med Student Bot @MedStudent_Bot3 3 hours ago
RT @turacoz: #medicalwritingtip #medtwitter #MedStudentTwitter #MedEdChat #MedEd #medicalcareer #medicalwriting #scientificwriting #pharmacist…

UCL Primary Care & Population Health @UCL_PCPH 2 hours ago
RT @ElRees1: @KristinaDzara @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Have started doing groups reviews as part of @UCL_PCPH journal club. Real…

Medical Education @MedEd_Journal an hour ago
RT @debsimpson3: @TLMedEd Indeed though yet almost every journal "rates" reviewers -- should an individual's average be available for use i…

Ollie Minton @drol007 41 minutes ago
Outcome 1 for all my supervisees @NHSePortfolios not through lack of wading through treacle those tick boxes #MedEdChat @RCPhysicians
The #MedEdChat Influencers
Top 10 Influential

@debsimpson3 100
@GLBDallaghan 97
@KristinaDzara 93
@DrKhan_do 84
@MedEdChat 80
@TLMedEd 63
@ElRees1 58
@HarvardMacy 58
@MayoFacDev 56
@ameefacdev 56

Prolific Tweeters

@KristinaDzara 60
@debsimpson3 30
@DrKhan_do 17
@MedEdChat 15
@GLBDallaghan 11
@TLMedEd 10
@DrMedEd_itor 8
@MedEdBot 7
@ArjaSateesh 5
@ElRees1 4

Highest Impressions
@KristinaDzara 406.5K
@MedEdChat 136.7K
@AvrahamCooperMD 99.2K
@DrKhan_do 51.6K
@debsimpson3 27.9K
@MedEdBot 20.8K
@GLBDallaghan 19.3K
@TLMedEd 15.6K
@endocrine_witch 12.2K
@MedEd_Journal 10.7K
The Numbers

836.802K Impressions
195 Tweets
29 Participants
24 Avg Tweets/Hour
7 Avg Tweets/Participant

Twitter data from the #MedEdChat hashtag from Thu, June 17th 2021, 9:05PM to Fri, June 18th 2021, 5:05AM (America/New_York) – Symplur.