

2021-06-17: Valuing Scholarship by Manuscript Reviewers: A Call to Action
Topic and Questions courtesy of the Journal of Graduate Medical Education

The following links were shared during the chat:

- Don't Be Reviewer 2! Reflections on Writing Effective Peer Review Comments
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40037-021-00670-z>
- Group Peer Review: The Breakfast of Champions
<https://meridian.allenpress.com/jgme/article/8/5/646/34623/Group-Peer-Review-The-Breakfast-of-Champions>
- October 2020 #MedEdPearls: Embracing the Power of Mentored Peer Review
<https://www.harvardmacy.org/index.php/hmi/october-2020-mededpearls>
- Team-Based Coaching Approach to Peer Review: Sharing Service and Scholarship
<https://meridian.allenpress.com/jgme/article/9/1/127/201212/Team-Based-Coaching-Approach-to-Peer-Review>
- Developing Your Expertise as a Peer Reviewer
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/16eD3md4aTcca6gDTIEFwvQXt1j1H1g6FT3sXgzc8i2Y/edit#>
- Health Professions Education Scholarship: The Emergence, Current Status, and Future of a Discipline in Its Own Right
<https://faseb.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1096/fba.2021-00011>
- Valuing Scholarship by Manuscript Reviewers: A Call to Action
<https://meridian.allenpress.com/jgme/article/13/3/313/466332/Valuing-Scholarship-by-Manuscript-Reviewers-A-Call>



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat8 hours ago

TOPIC 1: It's late! You've just spent hrs writing your #MedEd article rvw, seeking to constructively improve the paper's contribution & help the editors make a decision. Tired & harried you ask: "Why am I a reviewer; what's in it for me, personally or professionally?" #MedEdChat



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do8 hours ago

Hi Im Nagina @TouroNevada #mededchat #MedEd



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago

T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes: (1) Citizenship in MedED & (2) Scholarship -- so we should have lively discussion tonight!! #MedEdChat - Ready @HarvardMacy @AMEEFacDev @SDRME @MayoFacDev <https://t.co/byLsaf29kj>



Iris Thiele Isip Tan MD, MSc @endocrine_witch8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:...



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

Kristina here signing in for #MedEdChat! Interested in the topic and happy to be here. @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 #MedEd #HMILeaders <https://t.co/WrPAvzAvF3>



Eliot Rees [@EIRees18](#) hours ago

Eliot here in London, UK. First time joining [#mededchat](#)



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson38](#) hours ago

T1 via [@olletencate](#) - a [#JGME](#) editor - "a [#mededscholar](#) must review; it is an inherent part of our role - and one that keeps us sharp and informs us about what is out there, more than the usual superficial read of articles." [#MedEdChat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8](#) hours ago

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T1 Ran a [#twitterpoll](#) to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8](#) hours ago

RT [@MedEdChat](#): TOPIC 1: It's late! You've just spent hrs writing your [#MedEd](#) article rww, seeking to constructively improve the paper's con...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8](#) hours ago

RT [@MedEdChat](#): T1 about to come up in a few moments [#meded](#) [#mededchat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8](#) hours ago

RT [@TLMedEd](#): Greetings [#mededchat](#) !



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8](#) hours ago

RT [@GLBDallaghan](#): Gary here in NC [#mededchat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8](#) hours ago

RT [@MedEdChat](#): If you are tuning in to the [#mededchat](#), remember to use the [#mededchat](#) hashtag and try to answer with the Topic numbers (T1,...



Gary Beck Dallaghan [@GLBDallaghan8](#) hours ago

T1 I can say I do it to give back but also to learn. I feel like I learn new approaches to writing methods and results each time I do a review [#MedEdChat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8](#) hours ago

RT [@MedEdChat](#): The [#mededchat](#) topic & questions will be announced in a moment...for now, please introduce yourselves [#meded](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8](#) hours ago

RT [@MedEdChat](#): Welcome to the [#mededchat](#) (US) I am your moderator for the next hour [@alliance4clined](#) [#meded](#)



TLMedEd @TLMedEd8 hours ago

@MedEdChat T1. Personally, doing reviews is a chance to give back to my professional community. Professionally, it's a way to learn continuously and assist in cultivating #meded. #mededchat



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat8 hours ago

@EIRees1 Welcome to the #MedEdChat You're up late! Thanks for joining!



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan do8 hours ago

@MedEdChat T1 #MedEdChat Personally you get to read new work related to #MedEd and professionally you get recognized for contributing to the academic discipline by giving of your time and sharing your knowledge & skill.



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago

@TLMedEd @MedEdChat @TLMedEd that's consistent with our poll results -- citizenship to our community #MedEdChat



Eliot Rees @EIRees18 hours ago

@drsarahedwards Haha. Working nights and liked the look of the topic #mededchat



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago

T1 Agree!! 1/2 "Peer review is voluntary, unpaid, & often unrecognized/unrewarded work by busy academics & clinicians... It's critical community service, it serves the field, the journal + the authors." #MedEdChat @ChrisWatling3 @sginsburg1 @LingardLorelei <https://t.co/501TxjTHw0>



Sarah E @drsarahedwards8 hours ago

@EIRees1 Yeah looking good.... I'm post a late shift in the ED #mededchat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

Q1: Reading other papers teaches me A TON about academic writing. This is a big reason why I peer review. I get to offer my insights on how I would improve the paper and that is important. But reading other's writing before it published is eye-opening, & formative. #MedEdChat



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago

T1 2/2 How does being a #peerreviewer serve authors? Peer review supports them to achieve the high standards of the field & the meaningful advancement of a journal's scholarly conversation. Necessary Yes! Sufficient - Nope. @ChrisWatling3 @sginsburg1 @LingardLorelei #MedEdChat



Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan8 hours ago

T1 One review I did last fall introduced me to a new way of thinking about #evaluation...and I've been obsessed with it since. Read two books on it. Writing a manuscript applying it. You learn a lot from doing this #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8 hours ago](#)

RT [@GLBDallaghan](#): T1 I can say I do it to give back but also to learn. I feel like I learn new approaches to writing methods and results e...



Sarah E [@drsarahedwards8 hours ago](#)

RT [@KristinaDzara](#): Q1: Reading other papers teaches me A TON about academic writing. This is a big reason why I peer review. I get to off...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8 hours ago](#)

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T1 Agree!! 1/2 "Peer review is voluntary, unpaid, & often unrecognized/unrewarded work by busy academics & clinicians... I...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8 hours ago](#)

RT [@debsimpson3](#): [@TLMedEd](#) [@MedEdChat](#) [@TLMedEd](#) that's consistent with our poll results - citizenship to our community [#MedEdChat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8 hours ago](#)

RT [@MedEdChat](#): [@EIRees1](#) Welcome to the [#MedEdChat](#) You're up late! Thanks for joining!



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8 hours ago](#)

RT [@TLMedEd](#): [@MedEdChat](#) T1. Personally, doing reviews is a chance to give back to my professional community. Professionally, it's a way to...



MedEd [@TelehealthBot8 hours ago](#)

RT [@DrKhan_do](#): Hi Im Nagina [@TouroNevada](#) [#mededchat](#) [#MedEd](#)



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) [@MedEdChat8 hours ago](#)

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T1 Agree!! 1/2 "Peer review is voluntary, unpaid, & often unrecognized/unrewarded work by busy academics & clinicians... I...



MedEd [@TelehealthBot8 hours ago](#)

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T1 Ran a [#twitterpoll](#) to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:...



Sarah E [@drsarahedwards8 hours ago](#)

I have to agree, I've become a better writer since reviewing. The insist to what journals like and want can be really helpful to shape my papers. [#mededchat](#)



TLMedEd [@TLMedEd8 hours ago](#)

T1. Reading a high-quality review is always edifying and enjoyable, even with its critiques. It's fun to participate in the art of reviewing. [#mededchat](#)




MedEd @TelehealthBot 8 hours ago

RT @KristinaDzara: Kristina here signing in for #MedEdChat! Interested in the topic and happy to be here. @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 #...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T1 2/2 How does being a #peerreviewer serve authors? Peer review supports them to achieve the high standards of the field...



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan do8 hours ago

@KristinaDzara Yes you can also perhaps find how a different perspective can open your eye - linking or re affirming your own thoughts on an idea that you have in the works! #MedEdChat



Deb Simpson @debsimpson 38 hours ago

@GLBDallaghan T1 Indeed @GLBDallaghan - always learn when I review -- just not sure if that's enough - most efficient way to get new ideas -- given that often takes a couple of hours for one review... Can we make it count multiple ways? #MedEdChat




Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

@debsimpson3 @ChrisWatling3 @sginsburg1 @LingardLorelei I try to be a strong but fair reviewer. I want all authors to feel good about their work - academic writing is hard!!! But, also offer formative feedback to improve regardless of the editor's final decision. Respectful, but formative. #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @GLBDallaghan: T1 One review I did last fall introduced me to a new way of thinking about #evaluation...and I've been obsessed with it s...



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan do8 hours ago

@TLMedEd You might also learn or see how not to write something! #mededchat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

@GLBDallaghan Agree and if you are reviewing, you have a birds-eye view to what's "up and coming" in the field. #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara 8 hours ago

RT @DrKhan do: @TLMedEd You might also learn or see how not to write

something! #mededchat



Joanne Hamilton, RD, MEd, EdD @Jo_HamiltonEdD 8 hours ago

@MedEdChat T1 #mededchat. It's not always what's in it for me...but what it does for others. Though for me it keeps my critical appraisal skills sharp.



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. [@DrKhan](#) do8 hours ago

RT [@KristinaDzara](#): [@GLBDallaghan](#) Agree and if you are reviewing, you have a birds-eye view to what's "up and coming" in the field. [#MedEd...](#)



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. [@DrKhan](#) do8 hours ago

RT [@KristinaDzara](#): [@debsimpson3](#) [@ChrisWatling3](#) [@sginsburg1](#) [@LingardLorelei](#) I try to be a strong but fair reviewer. I want all authors to fe...



Gary Beck Dallaghan [@GLBDallaghan8](#) hours ago

[@debsimpson3](#) T1 I would argue the learning new methods is counting in multiple ways for me. Getting more recognition for the work that goes into the reviews would also be nice [#MedEdChat](#)



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson38](#) hours ago

Wonder if we should have an equation -- number of articles we publish - should be matched? doubled? by number of articles we review. Should we review at least 1-2 articles per year for each journal we submit to? Idea via [@olletencate](#) - author [#JGME](#) editor [#MedEdChat](#)



MedEdBot [@MedEdBot8](#) hours ago

RT [@MedEdChat](#): T1 about to come up in a few moments [#meded](#) [#mededchat](#)



MedEdBot [@MedEdBot8](#) hours ago

RT [@MedEdChat](#): TOPIC 1: It's late! You've just spent hrs writing your [#MedEd](#) article rww, seeking to constructively improve the paper's con...



MedEdBot [@MedEdBot8](#) hours ago

RT [@DrKhan_do](#): Hi Im Nagina [@TouroNevada](#) [#mededchat](#) [#MedEd](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8](#) hours ago

[@debsimpson3](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) It would be nice if peer review was recognized more as contributing to the larger landscape of the field. I don't expect to get any academic "credit" for peer reviewing. [#MedEdChat](#)



MedEdBot [@MedEdBot8](#) hours ago

RT [@KristinaDzara](#): Kristina here signing in for [#MedEdChat](#)! Interested in the topic and happy to be here. [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) #...



Gary Beck Dallaghan [@GLBDallaghan8](#) hours ago

[@debsimpson3](#) [@olletencate](#) T1 Yikes. I wouldn't have time for my day job! [#MedEdChat](#)



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago
[@GLBDallaghan](#) T1 Wondering what kind of recognition [@GLBDallaghan](#) #MedEdChat



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago
T1 Love [@Jon Ilgen](#)'s The Breakfast of Champions - about the trade off of reviewing paper in your interest area or making waffles with your family! Argues for group peer review to enhance value of this traditionally solitary activity! #MedEdChat <https://t.co/XXsshcteLz> <https://t.co/pfNZLQRQzL>



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago
[@KristinaDzara](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) T1 am wondering why you don't expect to get any "credit" for reviewing - the community depends on it to advance our field? Could it be another way to demonstrate #mededscholar? #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago
[@debsimpson3](#) [@olletencate](#) I don't love the idea of quantifying with exact numbers...manuscripts vary. Some are 500 words, others are 40 pages! Not all reviews take the same time or effort. #MedEdChat



TLMedEd @TLMedEd8 hours ago
[@KristinaDzara](#) [@debsimpson3](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) Sadly, sometimes faculty are counseled NOT to review by their superiors. #mededchat



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan do8 hours ago
[@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) When I first started reviewing it was nice to have support from a colleague who reviewed often and contributed a lot of his time. I don't think it's something I just thought I would be good at! I needed encouragement #mededchat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago
[@debsimpson3](#) [@olletencate](#) I do think that if you submit to a journal - and especially publish in a journal - it is part of citizenship to peer review for them. #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago
RT [@DrKhan do](#): [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) When I first started reviewing it was nice to have support from a colleague who reviewed often an...



Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan8 hours ago
[@debsimpson3](#) T1 I think letters like [@TLMedEd](#) sends each year thanking people for their service is nice. At least it's something you could use in a portfolio #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago
[@DrKhan do](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) I have seen a lot of doubt around peer reviewing. Trainees or junior faculty feeling ill-equipped, lacking expertise, or know-how to do a peer review. This can lead to NOT peer reviewing, which I think is a disservice to themselves and the field. #MedEdChat



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. [@DrKhan](#) do8 hours ago

RT [@DrKhan](#) do: [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) When I first started reviewing it was nice to have support from a colleague who reviewed often an...



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson3](#) 8 hours ago

[@DrKhan](#) do [@GLBDallaghan](#) T1 Indeed - we often encourage folks to review. And then we don't help them!! Team-based coaching approach to peer review works!! [#MedEdChat](#) <https://t.co/59Gj9ghx6u>



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara](#) 8 hours ago

[@DrKhan](#) do [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) I wrote a [@HarvardMacy](#) blog post about the power of mentored peer review. <https://t.co/zIDcaHW1bP> I try to do most of my peer reviews with trainees or junior faculty so that they 1) have the experience and 2) MORE IMPORTANTLY feel competent to review independently. [#MedEdChat](#)



TLMedEd [@TLMedEd](#) 8 hours ago

[@KristinaDzara](#) [@DrKhan](#) do [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) T1. Agreed. Mentored peer review is a great way to get new reviewers over their jitters. And it's a lot of fun! [#mededchat](#)



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. [@DrKhan](#) do8 hours ago

[@KristinaDzara](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) Definitely true, infact it makes a difference and you can also enjoy the process of helping more and being fair - thinking about how you feel when

you get comments back! Makes for a better compassionate reviewer for sure [#mededchat](#)



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson3](#) 8 hours ago

[@DrKhan](#) do [@GLBDallaghan](#) PS -- lots of guidance out about how to be a peer reviewer - but still need to be coached a little!! At least I do.. need feedback, know how I compare to others.. getting to see other's review of same article helps! [#MedEdChat](#) <https://t.co/LFvVPwGNDM>



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) [@MedEdChat](#) 8 hours ago

TOPIC 2: How can we in academia make the case that being a [#meded](#) journal peer reviewer is a form of [#Scholarship](#)? How can we approach peer reviewing as a form of scholarly inquiry per [#Glassick](#) [#Boyer](#)? What evidence would demonstrate its scholarly value? [#MedEdChat](#)



Eliot Rees [@EIRees](#) 18 hours ago

[@KristinaDzara](#) [@DrKhan](#) do [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) Have started doing groups reviews as part of [@UCL_PCPH](#) journal club. Really enjoyable and helps to mentor new reviewers. T1 [#mededchat](#)



Gary Beck Dallaghan [@GLBDallaghan](#) 8 hours ago

RT [@debsimpson3](#): [@DrKhan](#) do [@GLBDallaghan](#) PS -- lots of guidance out about how to be a peer reviewer - but still need to be coached a littl...



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat8 hours ago
RT @KristinaDzara: @DrKhan do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 I wrote a @HarvardMacy blog post about the power of mentored peer review. <https://...>



Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: @DrKhan do @GLBDallaghan T1 Indeed - we often encourage folks to review. And then we don't help them!! Team-based coachi...



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan do8 hours ago
RT @EIRees1: @KristinaDzara @DrKhan do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Have started doing groups reviews as part of @UCL_PCPH journal club. Real...



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan do8 hours ago
@EIRees1 @KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 @UCL_PCPH That's a super idea! #mededchat



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago
T2 In 1980: 3 "dedicated" #meded journals; now 35! All need reviewers! Must read by @olletencate uses Boyer to explain why HPE #mededscholarship is its own discipline!! We can't be a discipline w/out being inclusive of those peers who review! <https://t.co/UDKtajKxv3> #MedEdChat



Sateesh Arja, M.B.B.S., MHPE, MSPH @ArjaSateesh8 hours ago
[#mededchat](#) Sateesh Arja from Curacao #meded



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago
@DrKhan do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Engaging in mentored peer review has been meaningful. I learn a lot by doing the work together. I have had some of those I peer reviewed with ask to do another one together because they learned so much the first time & enjoyed it. It makes me proud to be an educator. #MedEdChat



TLMedEd @TLMedEd8 hours ago
@DrKhan do @KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Yes! And mentoring peer review stimulates reflection on one's own reviewing process, and there's always room for growth. #mededchat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago
RT @debsimpson3: T2 In 1980: 3 "dedicated" #meded journals; now 35! All need reviewers! Must read by @olletencate uses Boyer to explain why...



Gary Beck Dallaghan @GLBDallaghan8 hours ago
T2 I think of #Glassick when reviewing. Makes me wonder if there is a way to get summaries annually of articles I reviewed...# rejected or accepted. Would help me know if I'm rating properly and also provides some good metrics for effort #MedEdChat



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T2 In 1980: 3 "dedicated" #meded journals; now 35! All need reviewers! Must read by @olletencate uses Boyer to explain why...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

RT @EIRees1: @KristinaDzara @DrKhan do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Have started doing groups reviews as part of @UCL_PCPH journal club. Real...



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago

T2- Eager to see what others think. Let's break it down - using #Glassick and #Boyer... making the case that reviewing IS a form of scholarship.. #MedEdChat



Sateesh Arja, M.B.B.S., MHPE, MSPH @ArjaSateesh8 hours ago

@MedEdChat #mededchat T1 I can give constructive feedback to authors and also learn from authors. Can learn good practices from other institutions. Also can get and learn writing skills by reading others' articles. This helped me to improve my academic and scholarly writing #meded



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. @DrKhan_do8 hours ago

T2 #MedEdChat Joining a reviewer site can prompt you keep a count of how many you have done or want to do in a year and if other academic institutions or journals can access then you kind of get some recognition. For example Publons. #mededchat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

RT @DrKhan_do: @EIRees1 @KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 @UCL_PCPH Th at's a super idea! #mededchat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

RT @GLBDallaghan: @debsimpson3 T1 I would argue the learning new methods is counting in multiple ways for me. Getting more recognition for...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: @GLBDallaghan T1 Indeed @GLBDallaghan - always learn when I review -- just not sure if that's enough - most efficient way...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

RT @MedEdChat: TOPIC 2: How can we in academia make the case that being a #meded journal peer reviewer is a form of #Scholarship? How can...



Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd_itor8 hours ago

@MedEdChat T2 submit original manuscript (authors/institutions redacted) with your review, as part of clin educator portfolio for annual evaluation or promotions package? Count group reviews as part of mentor work? #mededchat #meded #jgme



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8 hours ago](#)
RT [@debsimpson3](#): [@DrKhan_do](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) PS -- lots of guidance out about how to be a peer reviewer - but still need to be coached a littl...



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson38 hours ago](#)
T2 Almost 25 yrs since Grassick pub "Scholarship Assessed". Already used to frame evidence needed for scholarship. As reviewer "clear goal" = advance discipline not to redesign the study. Effective presentation critical.. It works for all the categories [@GLBDallaghan](#) [#MedEdChat](#) <https://t.co/Lwaa4VzR31>



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. [@DrKhan_do8 hours ago](#)
RT [@DrMedEd_itor](#): [@MedEdChat](#) T2 submit original manuscript (authors/institutions redacted) with your review, as part of clin educator portf...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8 hours ago](#)
[@debsimpson3](#) [@DrKhan_do](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) This is a good resource [@debsimpson3](#) - if you have any others you would recommend please tweet them! [#MedEdChat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8 hours ago](#)
RT [@TLMedEd](#): [@KristinaDzara](#) [@DrKhan_do](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) T1. Agreed. Mentored peer review is a great way to get new reviewers over...



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson38 hours ago](#)
[@DrKhan_do](#) T2 What are best reviewer sites you use [#DrKahn_do](#) to track your activity? [#MedEdChat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara8 hours ago](#)
RT [@debsimpson3](#): [@DrKhan_do](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) T1 Indeed - we often encourage folks to review. And then we don't help them!! Team-based coachi...



Alliance4ClinEd [@Alliance4ClinEd8 hours ago](#)
[@debsimpson3](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) T2 I agree. Honestly, I have to be prepared as a reviewer. I've even done homework to better understand the author's use of a particular statistical analysis just to adequately review the work. [#MedEdChat](#)



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson38 hours ago](#)
[@Alliance4ClinEd](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) T2 Agree - even if I don't learn enough and have to ask for a stats consult - as a reader/reviewer their explanation should still make sense as to why chose the analysis, what findings where, etc [#MedEdChat](#)



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. [@DrKhan_do8 hours ago](#)
[@debsimpson3](#) Publons is one I know of! [#MedEdChat](#)



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. [@DrKhan](#) 8 hours ago

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T1 Ran a [#twitterpoll](#) to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara](#) 8 hours ago

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T1 Love [@Jon_Ilgen](#)'s The Breakfast of Champions - about the trade off of reviewing paper in your interest area or making w...



Gary Beck Dallaghan [@GLBDallaghan](#) 8 hours ago

[@debsimpson3](#) [@Alliance4ClinEd](#) T2 Agreed. It's by telling a story and the story should be understood by anyone reading it. [#MedEdChat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara](#) 8 hours ago

RT [@Jo_HamiltonEdD](#): [@MedEdChat](#) T1 [#mededchat](#). It's not always what's in it for me...but what it does for others. Though for me it keeps my...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara](#) 8 hours ago

RT [@TLMedEd](#): T1. Reading a high-quality review is always edifying and enjoyable, even with its critiques. It's fun to participate in the ar...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara](#) 8 hours ago

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T2 Almost 25 yrs since Grassick pub "Scholarship Assessed". Already used to frame evidence needed for scholarship. As revi...



Gail Sullivan [@DrMedEd](#) 8 hours ago

[@debsimpson3](#) [@MedEdChat](#) [@olletencate](#) T2 >100 med ed journals (per Dave Cook), internationally. Some publish just one/yr. Reviewers too are international. As an editor, one 'meets' reviewers from all over, from Nepal to New Zealand Med ed seems a small world, thru reviewing - enhances the value [#mededchat](#) [#meded](#) [#jgme](#)



Sateesh Arja, M.B.B.S., MHPE, MSPH [@ArjaSateesh](#) 8 hours ago

[@MedEdChat](#) [#mededchat](#) T2 peer reviewer activity can considered as scholarly activity. Medical Science Educator gives a certificate and also offer reviewer workshop for reviewers. And also have seen Journal of Research in Higher Education and SAGE publications publish the reviewers' names



TLMedEd [@TLMedEd](#) 8 hours ago

[@GLBDallaghan](#) A portfolio of reviews is a good idea for building a case for reviews as scholarship. So are criteria for judging review quality. [#mededchat](#)



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) [@MedEdChat](#) 8 hours ago

RT [@ArjaSateesh](#): [@MedEdChat](#) [#mededchat](#) T2 peer reviewer activity can considered as scholarly activity. Medical Science Educator gives a ce...



Elaina @tippin_elaina8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:...



Avraham Z. Cooper, MD @AvrahamCooperMD8 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T1 Ran a #twitterpoll to see what people thought re: how journal peer review should be MOST valued. Top 2 with 119 votes:...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

@GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 @Alliance4ClinEd If I don't feel I have enough expertise in a theory used, or methods, I make a note to the editor that they should ensure another reviewer has that expertise to ensure the results are appropriate & robust. Big fan of the "additional notes to editor" review section! #MedEdChat



Ryan Landoll @DrLandoll8 hours ago

I love this idea. I also strongly believe co-reviewing a paper with your graduate students is a win (good exp for them)-win (makes your review easier)-win (I accept more reviews this way)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

RT @GLBDallaghan: T2 I think of #Glassick when reviewing. Makes me wonder if there is a way to get summaries annually of articles I review...



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago

T2 Indeed portfolio is excellent approach @TLMedEd . Wondering what evidence one would highlight from the reviews to demonstrate a peer reviewer's scholarly value? We've got "quantity" of reviews (easy with pubon - but it's the quality that's trickier for evidence! #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara8 hours ago

@GLBDallaghan That would be cool. I suppose you could track it yourself - I get emails back from journals regarding the results of my reviews. It would not be hard to start a simple excel spreadsheet, add all reviews, and then when you get that email, update the result. #MedEdChat



Avraham Z. Cooper, MD @AvrahamCooperMD8 hours ago

@KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 @Alliance4ClinEd As a (junior) associate editor I often learn a lot from robust reviews. This should be recognized as scholarship just like teaching activities! #mededchat



Deb Simpson @debsimpson38 hours ago

@KristinaDzara @TLMedEd @GLBDallaghan Portfolios can still be helpful for authors of letters of support.. have even seen people post them on SoMe account so that their reviewers (if blinded) see it!! Very helpful #MedEdChat



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat7 hours ago

TOPIC 3: Peer reviewers need others to value this work as scholarship. What's our Ask? From #ICMJE #MedEd Journals? What policy changes r needed within our #medicalschoools to value it during our annual perform review? for Awards? for acad promotion? #CFAS #LCME #ACGME #MedEdChat



Gary Beck Dallaghan [@GLBDallaghan](#) 7 hours ago
[@debsimpson3](#) [@TLMedEd](#) T2 Right....which is why it would be nice to know which of those I reviewed, how I rated (accept/reject) and what the final decision was. It's additional information other than just total reviews completed. [#MedEdChat](#)



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson37](#) hours ago
[@AvrahamCooperMD](#) [@KristinaDzara](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@Alliance4ClinEd](#) Exactly -- it's another form of [#mededsholar!](#) What would be evidence? [#MedEdChat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara7](#) hours ago
 RT [@AvrahamCooperMD](#): [@KristinaDzara](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@debsimpson3](#) [@Alliance4ClinEd](#) As a (junior) associate editor I often learn a lot from rob...



Eliot Rees [@EIRees17](#) hours ago
[@KristinaDzara](#) [@GLBDallaghan](#) If you use [@Publons](#) they tell you when papers you reviewed have been published [#MedEdChat](#)



Paul Haidet [@myheroistrane7](#) hours ago
 It is SO helpful when the journal sends me the result of their adjudication, plus the other reviews. Helps me to calibrate my own review. Glassick #6. [#mededchat](#)



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson37](#) hours ago
 T3 Almost every [#meded](#) journal has [#metrics](#) on reviewers: [#reviews](#) /yr; mean rating of reviews by editor-in-chief, ed board members/staff; Evidence of reviewer quality/quantity =[#MedEdScholar!!](#) Time to share it! Yes=staff time but reviewers give their time free! [#MedEdChat](#) [#ICMJE](#) <https://t.co/n9AR17KadA>



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara7](#) hours ago
 RT [@myheroistrane](#): It is SO helpful when the journal sends me the result of their adjudication, plus the other reviews. Helps me to calibra...



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) [@MedEdChat7](#) hours ago
 RT [@myheroistrane](#): It is SO helpful when the journal sends me the result of their adjudication, plus the other reviews. Helps me to calibra...



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) [@MedEdChat7](#) hours ago
 RT [@debsimpson3](#): T3 Almost every [#meded](#) journal has [#metrics](#) on reviewers: [#reviews](#) /yr; mean rating of reviews by editor-in-chief, ed boar...



Gail Sullivan [@DrMedEd](#) 7 hours ago
[@MedEdChat](#) T2 1 review doesn't equal 1 paper resulting from 3 yrs of work, but maybe 9 superb reviews over 3 yrs does! [#mededchat](#) [#meded](#) [#jgme](#)



Sateesh Arja, M.B.B.S., MHPE, MSPH [@ArjaSateesh7 hours ago](#)

[@MedEdChat](#) [#mededchat](#) T3 maybe individual institutions can make the policy changes and make all of these activities can be included as part of educational scholarship activities and can be considered for promotion like peer reviewer activity or even the Twitter discussions that we are doing



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson37 hours ago](#)

[@myheroistrane](#) Agree getting decision and other reviewers comments is nice example of [#reflectivecritique](#) [@myheroistrane](#) !! Glassick works for peer review too as form of [#mededsholar](#) [#MedEdChat](#)



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson37 hours ago](#)

T3 As [#Editors](#) [@JGME](#) we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups: P&T Com, [#MedEd](#) Journals; reviewers do for themselves. Share article - its [#openaccess](#) - start the dialogue!!
Reactions? [#MedEdChat](#) <https://t.co/mt99Qpb2fY> <https://t.co/dV2nbXpMzQ>



TLMedEd [@TLMedEd7 hours ago](#)

[@debsimpson3](#) Agreed. Adding to the challenge is that review quality is somewhat context-dependent. [#mededchat](#)



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) [@MedEdChat7 hours ago](#)

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T3 As [#Editors](#) [@JGME](#) we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:...



Alliance4ClinEd [@Alliance4ClinEd7 hours ago](#)

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T3 As [#Editors](#) [@JGME](#) we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:...



SDRME [@SDRME Meded7 hours ago](#)

RT [@debsimpson3](#): T3 As [#Editors](#) [@JGME](#) we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:...



Deb Simpson [@debsimpson37 hours ago](#)

[@ArjaSateesh](#) [@MedEdChat](#) Indeed - we need to work on valuing our reviewers at the institutional level!! Policy, mentoring colleagues about what evidence to include. So important - starts slowly as it's a culture change [@ArjaSateesh](#) [#MedEdChat](#)



Gary Beck Dallaghan [@GLBDallaghan7 hours ago](#)

[@debsimpson3](#) [@jgme](#) [@mededdoc](#) [@DrMedEd](#) [itor](#) [@lainieyarris](#) T3 Those are all great ideas of how to approach tracking reviews from a scholarly perspective. Up until now I've never thought much of doing this as I saw this as a service to [#meded....now](#), I will [#MedEdChat](#)



Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago

@TLMedEd Indeed though yet almost every journal "rates" reviewers -- should an individual's average be available for use in a portfolio x journal? @TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd_Journal @pmeded #MedEdChat?



TLMedEd @TLMedEd7 hours ago

@MedEdChat T3. The change likely has to happen at the institutional level, where non-publication/grant forms of educational scholarship are still less valued. #mededchat



Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago

@GLBDallaghan @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris Now we're making progress #MedEdChat ers!! Reviewers help advance what we know in what @olletencate is arguing is now a discipline!! Let's go for it!! #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @EIRees1: @KristinaDzara @GLBDallaghan If you use @Publons they tell you when papers you reviewed have been published #MedEdChat



TLMedEd @TLMedEd7 hours ago

@debsimpson3 @AcadMedJournal @MedEd_Journal @pmeded T3. Unfortunately, the reviewer rating data on journal websites are likely to be unreliable and lacking in meaningful variation. #mededchat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: @GLBDallaghan @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris Now we're making progress #MedEdChat ers!! Reviewers help advanc...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @TLMedEd: @MedEdChat T3. The change likely has to happen at the institutional level, where non-publication/grant forms of educational sc...



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat7 hours ago

We have about 5 more minutes left in our discussion. Please feel free to give some final thoughts #meded #mededchat



Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago

@TLMedEd @MedEdChat T3 Live valuing teaching, mentoring, educational leadership its slow but there has been progress in last 20 yrs... we have a ways to go but some organizations like @ARVOinfo count it towards being a fellow!! We can and must value our peer reviewers! #MedEdChat



Sateesh Arja, M.B.B.S., MHPE, MSPH @ArjaSateesh7 hours ago

@debsimpson3 @MedEdChat #mededchat T3 completely agreed #meded



Dr. Lisa Starr @LisaStarrPhD7 hours ago

I've seen stuff like this before, and my thought is always, damn, other people are either reviewing way less than me or submitting way more than me, bc my ratio is waaaaay more than 2 reviews per submission. Either way I'm probably doing something wrong.



Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago

Honored to among @JGME guest hosts for this #MedEdChat on peer review as scholarship. Special thanks to ALL who review! Thank u e-mails, formal ltrs 2 their org leaders, webpages 4 top reviewers all good- AND we can/must do more! #GFA_chat #MedEdScholars <https://t.co/FJhPMbzrVR> <https://t.co/hpqDUqjJjD>



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

@debsimpson3 @TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd_Journal @pmeded This is a tough one for me. Journals "rate" reviewers but reviewers don't know the metrics. If these ratings were going to be more public, or used in some way, I would want to know how the measurement, so that I can do what I need to do to get a reasonable "score." #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @GLBDallaghan: @debsimpson3 @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris T3 Those are all great ideas of how to approach tracking reviews...



Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago

@KristinaDzara @TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd_Journal @pmeded As always with any assessment - transparency is key!! #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

@debsimpson3 @ArjaSateesh @MedEdChat I will say I enjoy when journals publish "reviewers" for the year. It feels good to get a tiny bit of public recognition for the work. And others saw you participated in giving back to the field. #MedEdChat



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @TLMedEd: @debsimpson3 Agreed. Adding to the challenge is that review quality is somewhat context-dependent. #mededchat



Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd_itor7 hours ago

RT @GLBDallaghan: @debsimpson3 @jgme @mededdoc @DrMedEd_itor @lainieyarris T3 Those are all great ideas of how to approach tracking reviews...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T3 As #Editors @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:...



Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd_itor7 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: Honored to among @JGME guest hosts for this #MedEdChat on peer review as scholarship. Special thanks to ALL who review! T...



TLMedEd @TLMedEd7 hours ago

Without peer reviewers, the scholarly conversation falls silent. [#mededchat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: @myheroistrane Agree getting decision and other reviewers comments is nice example of [#reflectivecritique](#) @myheroistrane !...



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat7 hours ago

[#MedEdChat](#) will be on hiatus until Thurs Aug 19, 2021. Have a great summer! See you then!



MedEd Chat (hosted by ACE) @MedEdChat7 hours ago

That's a wrap...I will post the [#mededchat](#) transcript tomorrow morning on <https://t.co/mJivoK9NyX>. Thanks everyone for participating! [#meded](#)



Deb Simpson @debsimpson37 hours ago

@TLMedEd @AcadMedJournal @MedEd_Journal @pmeded I see potential for interesting study here @TLMedEd! [#MedEdChat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @MedEdChat: That's a wrap...I will post the [#mededchat](#) transcript tomorrow morning on <https://t.co/mJivoK9NyX>. Thanks everyone for parti...



Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd_itor7 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: T3 As [#Editors](#) @JGME we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:...



Avraham Z. Cooper, MD @AvrahamCooperMD7 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: Honored to among @JGME guest hosts for this [#MedEdChat](#) on peer review as scholarship. Special thanks to ALL who review! T...



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @MedEdChat: [#MedEdChat](#) will be on hiatus until Thurs Aug 19, 2021. Have a great summer! See you then!



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc @KristinaDzara7 hours ago

RT @TLMedEd: Without peer reviewers, the scholarly conversation falls silent. [#mededchat](#)



Gail Sullivan @DrMedEd_itor7 hours ago

@debsimpson3 @jgme @mededdoc @lainieyarris T3 We can learn so much from reviewing one another's work. Reviewers are: altruistic, curious, rigorous. 3 cheers for reviewers! [#mededchat](#) [#meded](#) [#jgme](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara](#) 7 hours ago
[@debsimpson3](#) [@TLMedEd](#) [@AcadMedJournal](#) [@MedEd_Journal](#) [@pmeded](#) Right. The metrics should be transparent [@debsimpson3!](#) [#MedEdChat](#)



Kristina Dzara, PhD, MMSc [@KristinaDzara](#) 7 hours ago
RT [@debsimpson3](#): [@KristinaDzara](#) [@TLMedEd](#) [@AcadMedJournal](#) [@MedEd_Journal](#) [@pmede](#) [d](#) As always with any assessment - transparency is key!! [#Med...](#)



Gail Sullivan [@DrMedEd_itor](#) 7 hours ago
RT [@debsimpson3](#): [@GLBDallaghan](#) [@jgme](#) [@mededdoc](#) [@DrMedEd_itor](#) [@lainieyarris](#) Now we're making progress [#MedEdChat](#) ers!! Reviewers help advanc...



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. [@DrKhan_do](#) 7 hours ago
RT [@debsimpson3](#): T3 As [#Editors](#) [@JGME](#) we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:...



Nagina Khan, Ph.D. [@DrKhan_do](#) 7 hours ago
[@MedEdChat](#) Thanks it was my first time participating! It was really nice to chat with you all! [#mededchat](#)



MedEdBot [@MedEdBot](#) 7 hours ago
RT [@MedEdChat](#): We have about 5 more minutes left in our discussion. Please feel free to give some final thoughts [#meded](#) [#mededchat](#)



MedEdBot [@MedEdBot](#) 7 hours ago
RT [@MedEdChat](#): That's a wrap...I will post the [#mededchat](#) transcript tomorrow morning on <https://t.co/mJivoK9NyX>. Thanks everyone for parti...



Avraham Z. Cooper, MD [@AvrahamCooperMD](#) 7 hours ago
RT [@debsimpson3](#): T3 As [#Editors](#) [@JGME](#) we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:...



Med Student Bot [@MedStudent_Bot](#) 7 hours ago
RT [@MedEdChat](#): That's a wrap...I will post the [#mededchat](#) transcript tomorrow morning on <https://t.co/mJivoK9NyX>. Thanks everyone for parti...



Jay by the shore [@iamgabbardon](#) 7 hours ago
RT [@debsimpson3](#): T3 As [#Editors](#) [@JGME](#) we've called our community to act - let's value what reviewers do as scholarship! Focus on 3 groups:...



Marinos Sotiropoulos [@Marinos_S](#) 6 hours ago
RT [@myheroistrane](#): It is SO helpful when the journal sends me the result of their adjudication, plus the other reviews. Helps me to calibra...



Tom Cooney MD @PDX_Tom5 hours ago

RT @debsimpson3: Honored to among @JGME guest hosts for this #MedEdChat on peer review as scholarship. Special thanks to ALL who review! T...



TuracoZ Healthcare Solutions @turacoZ3 hours ago

#medicalwritingtip #medtwitter #MedStudentTwitter #MedEdChat #MedEd #medicalcareer #medicalwriting #scentificwriting #pharmacist #Biology #ScienceTwitter #Tips #medicaleducation #medicalstudent #writingtips #writingcommunity #writingcommunity #writersoftwitter <https://t.co/uKmrKZfYlx>



MedEdBot @MedEdBot3 hours ago

RT @turacoZ: #medicalwritingtip #medtwitter #MedStudentTwitter #MedEdChat #MedEd #medicalcareer #medicalwriting #scentificwriting #pharmac...



Med Student Bot @MedStudent_Bot3 hours ago

RT @turacoZ: #medicalwritingtip #medtwitter #MedStudentTwitter #MedEdChat #MedEd #medicalcareer #medicalwriting #scentificwriting #pharmac...



UCL Primary Care & Population Health @UCL_PCPH2 hours ago

RT @EIRees1: @KristinaDzara @DrKhan_do @GLBDallaghan @debsimpson3 Have started doing groups reviews as part of @UCL_PCPH journal club. Real...



Medical Education @MedEd_Journalan hour ago

RT @debsimpson3: @TLMedEd Indeed though yet almost every journal "rates" reviewers -- should an individual's average be available for use i...



Ollie Minton @drol00741 minutes ago

Outcome 1 for all my supervisees @NHSePortfolios not through lack of wading through treacle

those tick

boxes

#MedEdChat @RCPhysicians

The #MedEdChat Influencers

Top 10 Influential



[@debsimpson3](#) 100



[@GLBDallaghan](#) 97



[@KristinaDzara](#) 93



[@DrKhan_do](#) 84



[@MedEdChat](#) 80



[@TLMedEd](#) 63



[@ElRees1](#) 58



[@HarvardMacy](#) 58



[@MayoFacDev](#) 56



[@ameefacdev](#) 56

Prolific Tweeters



[@KristinaDzara](#) 60



[@debsimpson3](#) 30



[@DrKhan_do](#) 17



[@MedEdChat](#) 15



[@GLBDallaghan](#) 11



[@TLMedEd](#) 10

 [@DrMedEd_itor](#) 8

 [@MedEdBot](#) 7

 [@AriaSateesh](#) 5

 [@EIRees1](#) 4

Highest Impressions

 [@KristinaDzara](#) 406.5K

 [@MedEdChat](#) 136.7K

 [@AvrahamCooperMD](#) 99.2K

 [@DrKhan_do](#) 51.6K

 [@debsimpson3](#) 27.9K

 [@MedEdBot](#) 20.8K

 [@GLBDallaghan](#) 19.3K

 [@TLMedEd](#) 15.6K

 [@endocrine_witch](#) 12.2K

 [@MedEd_Journal](#) 10.7K

The Numbers

836.802K Impressions

195 Tweets

29 Participants

24 Avg Tweets/Hour

7 Avg Tweets/Participant

Twitter data from the [#MedEdChat](#) hashtag from Thu, June 17th 2021, 9:05PM to Fri, June 18th 2021, 5:05AM (America/New_York) – Symplur.